

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/647,491	08/25/2003	Matt Person	P06603US0	2377	
34082	7590 06/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C. CAPITAL SQUARE		TRIEU, THAI BA			
400 LOCUST	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S, IA 50309-2350		3748		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/647,491	PERSON, MATT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thai-Ba Trieu	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ma	ay 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		eater Patent Application (PTO-152)					
							

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on May 20, 2004.

Applicant's cooperation in correcting the informalities in the drawing and specification is appreciated. Claims 3 and 11 were amended.

Applicant's arguments, see Pages 11-15, filed on May 20, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-15 under double patenting and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bruns (Patent number DE 423 29 999 A1).

Bruns discloses a rotary internal combustion engine comprising:

a compression chamber (formed by 1,3) adapted to receive fuel and compress the fuel (See Figure 3);

an ignition chamber (formed 2,4) adapted to receive compressed fuel from the compression chamber and combust the compressed fuel (See Figure 3); and

Art Unit: 3748

a separation wall (Not Numbered) between the compression chamber (formed by 1,3) and ignition chamber (formed 2,4) adapted to allow passage of compressed fuel from the compression chamber to the ignition chamber (See Figure 3);

a first rotor (3) rotatably received within the compression chamber (formed by 1,3) and a second rotor (4) rotatably received within the ignition chamber (Formed by 2,4) (See Figure 3); and

a transfer slot (15) in the separation wall (Not numbered) adapted to permit compressed fuel to move from the compression chamber (Formed by 1,3) into the ignition chamber (Formed by 2,4) (See Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruns (Patent Number DE 42 29 999 A1), Fanning (patent Number 2,359,903).

Bruns discloses the invention as recited above; however, Bruns fails to disclose each rotor having a slideable vane and the chamber having an epicycloidal shaped wall.

Fanning teaches that it is conventional in the rotary motor art, to utilize each rotor (15) has a vane (17) slidably mounted in a radially extended slot so that rotation of the rotors will cause outer ends of the vane (17) to engage the chambers (Formed by 10

Art Unit: 3748

and 22; and by 12 and 24) to vary the space on opposite sides of the vane (17) when the rotors (15) are rotating, and the shaped wall of the compression and ignition chamber being epicycloidal (See Figures 1 and 3, Page 1, Column 2, lines 20-28, Page 2).

It would has been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made, to have utilized each rotor having a slideable vane and the epicycloidal shaped wall of the chambers, as taught by fanning, to eliminate the fluid slip or leakage, in the Bruns device.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruns (Patent Number DE 42 29 999 A1), in view of Design choice.

Bruns discloses the invention as recited above; however, Bruns fails to disclose the second 0^0 position being offset in relation to the first 0^0 position between 0 and 45 degrees.

One having an ordinary skill in the rotary engine art, would have found the second 0^0 position being offset in relation to the first 0^0 position between 0 and 45 degrees, as a matter of design choice depending on the engine requirements. Moreover, there is nothing in the record, which establishes that the claimed positions of the first 0^0 position being offset in relation to the second 0^0 position, presents a novel of unexpected result (See In re Kuhle, 526 F. 2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)).

Art Unit: 3748

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruns (Patent Number DE 42 29 999 A1), in view of Zabriskie (Patent Number 1,267,157).

Bruns discloses the invention as recited above; however, Bruns fails to disclose a plurality of the rotary internal combustion engines being used in series along the same axis rotation.

Zabriskie teaches that it is conventional in the rotary engine art, to utilize a plurality of the rotary internal combustion engines (10, 11) being used in series along the same axis rotation (29) (See Figure 1).

It would has been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made, to have utilized a plurality of the rotary internal combustion engines being used in series along the same axis rotation, as taught by Zabriskie, to improve the efficiency of the modified Bruns device, since the use thereof would have increased the power of the engine.

Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruns (Patent Number DE 42 29 999 A1), in view of Fanning (Patent Number 2,359,903).

Bruns discloses a rotary internal combustion engine comprising:

a compression chamber (formed by 1,3) adapted to receive fuel and compress the fuel (See Figure 3);

Art Unit: 3748

an ignition chamber (formed 2,4) adapted to receive compressed fuel from the compression chamber and combust the compressed fuel (See Figure 3); and

a separation wall (Not Numbered) between the compression chamber (formed by 1,3) and ignition chamber (formed 2,4) adapted to allow passage of compressed fuel from the compression chamber to the ignition chamber (See Figure 3);

a first rotor (3) rotatably received within the compression chamber (formed by 1,3) and a second rotor (4) rotatably received within the ignition chamber (Formed by 2,4) (See Figure 3); and

a transfer slot (15) in the separation wall (Not numbered) adapted to permit compressed fuel to move from the compression chamber (Formed by 1,3) into the ignition chamber (Formed by 2,4) (See Figure 1).

Bruns discloses the invention as recited above; however, Bruns fails to disclose each rotor having a slideable vane and the chamber having an epicycloidal shaped wall.

Fanning teaches that it is conventional in the rotary motor art, to utilize each rotor (15) has a vane (17) slidably mounted in a radially extended slot so that rotation of the rotors will cause outer ends of the vane (17) to engage the chambers (Formed by 10 and 22; and by 12 and 24) to vary the space on opposite sides of the vane (17) when the rotors (15) are rotating, and the shaped wall of the compression and ignition chamber being epicycloidal (See Figures 1 and 3, Page 1, Column 2, lines 20-28, Page 2).

It would has been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made, to have utilized each rotor having a slideable vane and the

Art Unit: 3748

epicycloidal shaped wall of the chambers, as taught by fanning, to eliminate the fluid slip or leakage, in the Bruns device.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruns (Patent Number DE 42 29 999 A1), in view of Fanning (Patent Number 2,359,903), and further in view of Design choice.

The modified Bruns discloses the invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the second 0^0 position being offset in relation to the first 0^0 position between 0 and 45 degrees.

One having an ordinary skill in the rotary engine art, would have found the second 0° position being offset in relation to the first 0° position between 0 and 45 degrees, as a matter of design choice depending on the engine requirements. Moreover, there is nothing in the record, which establishes that the claimed positions of the first 0° position being offset in relation to the second 0° position, presents a novel of unexpected result (See In re Kuhle, 526 F. 2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruns (Patent Number DE 42 29 999 A1), in view of Fanning (Patent Number 2,359,903), and further in view of Zabriskie (Patent Number 1,267,157).

The modified Bruns discloses the invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose a plurality of the rotary internal combustion engines being used in series along the same axis rotation.

Art Unit: 3748

Zabriskie teaches that it is conventional in the rotary engine art, to utilize a plurality of the rotary internal combustion engines (10, 11) being used in series along the same axis rotation (29) (See Figure 1).

It would has been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made, to have utilized a plurality of the rotary internal combustion engines being used in series along the same axis rotation, as taught by Zabriskie, to improve the efficiency of the modified Bruns device, since the use thereof would have increased the power of the engine.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Loubiere (Patent Number 2,511,441) discloses a rotary internal combustion engine.
- Roser (Patent Number EP 085 427 A1) discloses rotary internal combustion engine.
- Bruns (Patent Number DE 37 05 079 A1) discloses a rotary internal combustion engine.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai-Ba Trieu whose telephone number is (703) 308-6450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTB June 20, 2004 Thai-Ba Trieu Patent Examiner Art Unit 3748